manders to Captain and Captain to Rear Admiral by the Naval Headr qurters from direct entry officers, as against Cadel Entry Officers, separately, during the last three years;

(b) what is the number of officers promoted from Commander to Cap tain and Capital to rear Admiral from direct entry officers and Cadet Entry Officers separately by the Naval Headquarters during the same period;

(c) whether any privilege in promotion is given to Cadet Entry Officers, in comprison to Direct Entry Officers; and

(d) if so, whether it would hamper the promotional avenues of Direct Entry Officers and affect their morale?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENCE PRODUCTION AND SUPPLIES IN THE MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (SHRI S'HXV RAJ PATIL): (a) The required information is given below:

(i) *Naval officers considered for Promotion ro Rear Admiral*

	1984	1985	1986
Cadet Entry Officers	14	21	28
Direct Entry Officers	11	12	15
(ii) *Promotion to Caption*			
Cadet Entry. Officers	38	53	50
Direct Emry Officers	47	69	131
(b) *Naval promoted to: Officers*			
(i) *Rear Admiral*			
Cadet Entry Officers	5	5	4
Direct Entry. Officers	4	4	3
, i) Captain			
>det Entry. Officers	17	29	19
Direct Entry Officers	21	25	38

(c) No.

(d) Does not arise.

Cases registered by the Central Bureas of Investigation

3617. SHRI J. p. GOYAL: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) the number of cases which have been registered by the Central Bureau of Investigation against officials of the Central Government, na-nationalised banks and public undertakings in the recent past;

Cb) what are the particulars of these officers and the charges against each of them; and

(c) the action taken against these officers?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI P. CHIDAM-BARAM): (a) During October, 1987, 89 cases were registered by the various branches of Central Bureau of Investigation against officials of the Central Government, Nationalised Banks and Public Sector Undertakings.

The particulars of the officers and charges levelled against them are given in the attached statement. *[See* Appendix CXLIV, Annexure No. 151].

fcl Suitable action as per relevant provisions of law has been initiated in all the cases.

Rationalisation of pensions of ex-army personnel

3618. SHRI KAPIL VERMA: Will the Minister of DEFENCE be pleased to state:

(a) what is the number of representations recived from armed force pensioners regarding non-payment of their full dues on account of revision of pensions under Fourth Pay Commission;

(b) whether pensions of ex-NK Baljit Singh, ex-Sep. Chander, Risald, Inder

Singfo (Retd.) ex-Hav. Hardwari and ex-NK Sant Ram have since been rationalised, i! not, what are the reasons thereto? and W when these are likely to be rationalised; and

(c) what is the number of cases where revision of pension has not been done and by when these are likely to be rationalised?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENCE PRODUCTION AND SUPPLIES IN THE MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (SHRI SHIVRAJ PATIL): (a) Sir, the representations from Armed Forces pensioners are received from time to time by several authorities|agencies such as Department of Pension and Pensioners' Welfare, Ministry of Defence, Services HQs, Record Offices, CODA CDA(P), CDA(JD), Treasuries, DPDOs Pay and Accounts Offices and the Public Sector Banks entrusted with disbursement of pension. Since the representations are received by several authorities the total number is not available.

(b) Sir. Armed Forces pensioners, can be identified by the!r Regimental No., and some basic particulars such as the Pension Payment Order No. and date under which the pensionary benefits were Treasury SI. or the HQ No. allotted to them by the Pension Disbursing authorities. In absence of these minimum details, it is not possible to furnish the information desired.

(c) Sir, Government orders for revision of Pension consequent on the recommendations of the Fourth Pay Commission for Armed Forces Personnel who retired prior to 1. 1. 86 as also those who retired thereafter have been issued. The revision in individual cases is being done by the pension disbursing authorities such as the various Defence Pension Disbursing Offices Treasuries, Public Sector Banks and Controllers of Defence Accounts in various parts of the country. Out of 1. 88 lakhs
. pensioners below officer rank with Defence Pension Disbursing Offices, the revision has been completed in ill but about 8000 cases.

Promotion of Deputy Secretary

3619. SHRI M1RZA IRSHADBAIG:
SHRI V. NARAYANASAMY:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state;

(a) whether it is a fact that officer* at the level of Deputy Secretary and above who have been working in one Ministry for more than 12 years are not being con sidered for promotion to the next position in the same Ministry;

(b) if so. what are the directions i" this regard;

(c) whether the Department of Personnel have circulated these directions to all the Ministries and Departments;

(d) the number of officers who have put more than 12 years of service in a particular Ministry and have been appoin ted *&s* Directors and Joint Secretaries in the same Ministry during the last three years;

(e) the reasons for making the exception in such cases; and

(f) whether the Department has issued circulars to all Ministries to follow the directions in such appointments and with what results?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI P. CHIDAMBARAM): (a) to (c) In March, 1985, Government decided that officers of Central Secretariat Serivce, who have been serving in the same Ministry| Department for 12 years or more should be found a placement in some other Minis-try|Department of the time of their promotion as Deputy Secretary or above. This decision is not applicable to those C$S officers who are due to retire within two years of their promotion. The decision was communicated to all Ministries|Depart-merits *vide* D. O. letter No. 28[3|80-EO (MM) dated 30. 3. 1985, copy enclosed *(See* Statement below).